Appl. No. 10/717,255 Confirm. No. 7757 Examiner W. Cumming Art Unit 2683

REMARKS

Request for Reconsideration, Informal Matters, Claims Pending

The non-final Office action mailed on 3 May 2006 has been considered carefully. Reconsideration of the claimed invention in view of the amendments above and the discussion below is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-24 are pending.

Allowability of Claims Over Keshavacher

Rejection Summary

Claims 1-24 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5839069 (Keshavacher).

Allowability of Claim 1

Regarding Claim 1, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Keshavacher fails to disclose or suggest a

... method in a wireless communications device, the method comprising:

operating in a network other than a home network of the wireless communications device;

determining whether to search for the home network of the wireless communications device when not operating in the home network by comparing network record information associated with the Atty. Docket No. CS23709RL

Appl. No. 10/717,255 Confirm. No. 7757 Examiner W. Cumming Art Unit 2683

network in which the mobile wireless communications device is operating to reference information.

Keshavacher discloses searching for the home network at a rate proportional to the cell selection rate. However, this disclosure of Keshavacher network is not the same as "... determining whether to search for the home network ..." as recited in Claim 1. Further, there is no disclosure in Keshavacher of "... comparing network record information associated with the network in which the mobile wireless communications device is operating to reference information" to determine whether to search for the home network. Claim 1 is thus patentably distinguished over Keshavacher.

Allowability of Claim 14

Regarding Claim 14, contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Keshavacher fails to disclose or suggest a

 \dots method in a mobile wireless communications device, the method comprising:

operating in a network other than a home network of the wireless communications device;

determining whether to search for the home network when operating in the network other than the home network based on information used to indicate that the wireless communications device is operating in a network other than the network in which the wireless communications device is operating.

Keshavacher discloses searching for the home network at a rate proportional to the cell selection rate. This disclosure in Keshavacher however is not the same as "... determining whether to search for the home network RAGER ET AL. "Home Network Searching When Roaming In Wireless Communications Networks"

Atty. Docket No. CS23709RL

Appl. No. 10/717,255 Confirm. No. 7757 Examiner W. Cumming

Art Unit 2683

..." in Claim 14. Further, there is no disclosure in Keshavacher of determining

whether to search for the home network "...based on information used to

indicate that the wireless communications device is operating in a network

other than the network in which the wireless communications device is

operating" as in Claim 14. The cell selection rate in Keshavacher is not

information used to indicate that the wireless communications device is

operating in a network other than the network in which the wireless

communications device is operating. Claim 14 and the claims that depend

therefrom are thus patentably distinguished over Keshavacher.

Allowability of Claim 19

Regarding Claim 19, contrary to the Examiner's assertion,

Keshavacher fails to disclose or suggest a

...method in a mobile wireless communications device, the method comprising:

identifying a network in which the wireless communications

device is operating;

determining network record information based on the network identified:

determining whether to search for a home network when roaming based on search information associated with the network

record information identified

Keshavacher discloses searching for the home network at a rate

proportional to the cell selection rate. This disclosure in Keshavacher however

is not the same as "... determining whether to search for a home network ..."

in Claim 19. There is no disclosure in Keshavacher of determining whether to

search for the home network "... based on search information associated with

10

the network record information identified" as in Claim 19. Keshavacher does not disclose or suggest "... determining network record information based on the network identified" or "... search information associated with the network record information identified." Claim 19 and the claims that depend therefrom are thus patentably distinguished over Keshavacher.

Prayer For Relief

In view of any amendments and the discussion above, the Claims of the present application are in condition for allowance. Kindly withdraw any rejections and objections and allow this application to issue as a United States Patent without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

/ R K Bowler /

ROLAND K. BOWLER II 3 AUG. 2006 REG. NO. 33,477

MOTOROLA, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB)
600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, W4-37Q
LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

Telephone No. (847) 523-3978 Facsimile No. (847) 523-2350